





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/513,518	02/25/2000	Cedell Adam Alexander JR.	RAL9-99-0073	7208	
25299	7590 04/16/2004		EXAMINER		
IBM CORPO	PRATION	PHILPOTT,	PHILPOTT, JUSTIN M		
PO BOX 1219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DEPT 9CCA, BLDG 002 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709			2665	<u> </u>	
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/200	4 (0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/513,518	ALEXANDER ET AL.	2/			
i,	Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Justin M Philpott	2665				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) [b) [event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MP	EP .			
ave be 7 CFR b) abov	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extent 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened in the first of the shortened in the context of	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension the final Office action; or (2) as s	fee under set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 3/31/2004. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2.	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a	(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b	(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c	they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simpli	fying the			
(d) \square they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
•	NOTE:			,			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed ame	endment			
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT pla	ace the			
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were ne	wly			
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			in			
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:					
	Claim(s) allowed:						
	Claim(s) objected to:						
	Claim(s) rejected:						
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10.	Other:		ndlfr	y			
	,	SUPERVISO	HUY D. WU ORY PATENT EXAMINER OF STATES 2600)			
_		TECHNO	LOGY CENTER 2600				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant does not include any arguments in the Remarks other than applicant traverses the rejections of the claims for the reasons stated in the Amendment A, filed November 24, 2003. However, Examiner has clearly responded to each of these arguments made by applicant in Amendment A within the "Response to Arguments" section in the Final Office action mailed February 12, 2004 (Paper No. 7). Applicant has presently failed to respond to any of Examiner's "Response to Arguments" included in the Final Office action. Thus, Examiner maintains the same position as presented in the Final Office action.